Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/828,284	PEAK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chuck O. Kendall	2192
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	TO personnel):	
(1) Chuck O. Kendall.	(3)	
(2) <u>Jordan Beckerd (39,602)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 May 2006.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representativ	e]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e) No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 18 and 36.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g)□ was not reached. h)□	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the generached, or any other comments: <u>Called Applicant with revised amendment of claims to be included in Examine</u> (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the ame allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where n allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	regards to 101 issues in claims, ers Amendment.  endments which the examiner ago copy of the amendments that whed.)	and Applicant agree to fax a greed would render the claims would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS I FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has alread ER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	y been filed, APPLICANT IS Y DAYS FROM THIS WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	•	
	Ilma	e kerdan Blikke
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		nature, if required